4

# **Securities Markets**

A rebound in primary market issues, particularly in initial public offerings (IPOs) of equities, was the most significant development in the securities markets of 2004. Household investor participation increased, based on stock market index returns of 72 per cent in 2003 followed by 11 per cent in 2004, and growing confidence in the transparency and robustness of the market design which was put in place over the 1993-2001 period. The number of accounts at NSDL, a proxy for the number of participants in the market, which had nearly stagnated in 2002 at 3.8 million, grew by 21 per cent and 29 per cent in the two subsequent years, to reach roughly 6 million as of end-2004. On average, in 2004, 5,400 new accounts were opened per weekday.

4.2 Gross turnover on NSE and BSE, putting together spot and derivatives, rose to Rs.86,28,645 crore in 2004, of which Rs.5,47,449 or 5.8 per cent was made up by Foreign Institutional Investors (FIIs). In the bond market, interest rates rose in 2004, in contrast with the declines of the two previous years.

## **Equity market**

#### Pre IPO stage

4.3 In addition to the traditional sources of capital from family and friends, startup firms are created and nurtured by Venture Capital Funds and Private Equity Funds. According to the Indian Venture Capital Association Yearbook (2003), investments of \$881 million were injected into 80 companies in 2002, and investments of \$470 million were injected into 56 companies in 2003. The firms which received these investments were drawn from

a wide range of industries, including finance, consumer goods and health.

4.4 The growth of the venture capital and private equity mechanisms in India is critically linked to their track record for successful exits (Table 4.1). Investments by these funds only commenced in recent years, and we are seeing a rapid buildup in a full range of channels for exit, with a mix of profitable and unprofitable outcomes. This success with exit suggests that investors will allocate increased resources to venture funds and private equity funds operating in India, who will (in turn) be able to fund the creation of new firms.

| Table 4.1 : Types of exit for venture capital or private equity funds |   |    |    |  |  |
|---|---|----|----|--|--|
| Category 2001 2002 2003   |   |    |    |  |  |
| IPO   | 0 | 0  | 2  |  |  |
| Post-IPO  | 1 | 7  | 40 |  |  |
| Trade sale  | 4 | 6  | 8  |  |  |
| Bankruptcy  | 1 | 0  | 0  |  |  |
| M&A   | 4 | 7  | 6  |  |  |
| Buyback   | 8 | 16 | 16 |  |  |
| Source: Indian Venture Capital Association<br>Yearbook, 2003.         |   |    |    |  |  |

## The primary market

4.5 The volume of public issues rose by roughly five times to a level of Rs.35,859 crore in 2004 (Table 4.2). Beyond this, a considerable volume of issuance also takes place through private placements. The bulk of this was made up of equity issuance, which amounted to Rs.33,475 crore in 2004. This was the highest-ever level of public equity

| Table 4.2 : Primary market |       |        |         |           |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------|
|                            |       |        | (R      | s. crore) |
|                            |       | Calend | ar year |           |
|                            | 2001  | 2002   | 2003    | 2004      |
| Debt                       | 4,916 | 3,451  | 3,790   | 2,383     |
| Equity                     | 726   | 2,373  | 2,892   | 33,475    |
| Of which, IPOs             | 525   | 1,981  | 1,940   | 22,611    |
| Number of IPC              | Ds 17 | 6      | 13      | 26        |
| Mean IPO size              | 31    | 330    | 149     | 870       |
| Total                      | 5,643 | 5,825  | 6,682   | 35,859    |
| Number                     | 48    | 28     | 42      | 65        |
| Source: SEBI.              |       |        |         |           |

issuance in India's history, over two times higher than the previous peak of 1995. The public debt market continued to languish at low levels, and the bulk of primary issuance of debt securities took place through private placement.

4.6 The growing sophistication of the market was visible in a slew of very large issues. The mean IPO size rose from Rs.31 crore in 2001 to Rs.870 crore in 2004. The success of these large issues has dispelled earlier doubts about the feasibility of billion-dollar offerings in the Indian market.

4.7 A major development in the Indian primary market has been the introduction of "screen based bookbuilding", where securities are auctioned through an anonymous screenbased system, and the price at which securities are sold is discovered on the screen. This eliminates the delays, risks and implementation difficulties associated with traditional procedures. Despite considerable skepticism about the extent to which computers could replace the services of highly skilled investment bankers, it is reported that resource mobilisation through bookbuilding rose steadily from 25 per cent of public equity offerings in 2001 to 53 per cent in 2002, 64 per cent in 2003 and 99 per cent in 2004. In this process, the primary market has matched the secondary market in terms of using technology to achieve an impersonal system of price discovery with widespread retail participation that spans the country.

4.8 Early indications suggest that a considerable volume of issuance may take place through the public equity issues market in 2005 also. It is reported that fresh issuance of securitisation paper grew sharply over this period, to a level of Rs.14,694 crore in 2004. However, this value continued to be much behind those found in developed countries, both in absolute terms and when viewed in comparison against the overall debt market.

#### The secondary market

4.9 One international ranking in the area of finance, where India figures, is the size of securities exchanges, as measured by the *number* of transactions. While the average value of transaction in India is small by world standards, India has a very large number of transactions, which are required to be implemented by commensurately large and yet low-cost IT systems. In 2002, NSE displaced Shanghai to take 3rd place, and BSE moved up from 8th rank in 2001 to 5th rank in 2003 (Table 4.3). NSE and BSE were stable at rank 3 and 5 respectively in 2003 and 2004.

| Table 4.3 : Biggest exchanges by numberof transactions in 2004 |                                |      |      |      |  |
|--|--------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
|  | Rank by number of transactions |      |      |      |  |
|  | 2001                           | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| NASDAQ   | 1                              | 1    | 1    | 1    |  |
| NYSE   | 2                              | 2    | 2    | 2    |  |
| NSE  | 4                              | 3    | 3    | 3    |  |
| Shanghai   | 3                              | 5    | 4    | 4    |  |
| BSE  | 8                              | 7    | 5    | 5    |  |
| Korea  | 6                              | 4    | 7    | 6    |  |
| Taiwan   | 7                              | 6    | 6    | 7    |  |
| Shenzhen   | 5                              | 8    | 8    | 8    |  |
| Deutsche Borse   | 9 9 9 9                        |      |      |      |  |
| London   | 14                             | 12   | 11   | 10   |  |

4.10 While index returns were strong in the recent period (Tables 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6), the rise in market value was roughly proportional to the rise in corporate earnings, giving a stable price to earnings (P/E) ratio.

| Table 4.4 : Movements of the index of the top 50 stocks (Nifty) |      |      |      |      |  |
|---|------|------|------|------|--|
|   | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| January   | 1372 | 1075 | 1042 | 1810 |  |
| February  | 1351 | 1142 | 1063 | 1800 |  |
| March   | 1148 | 1130 | 978  | 1772 |  |
| April   | 1125 | 1085 | 934  | 1796 |  |
| May   | 1168 | 1029 | 1007 | 1484 |  |
| June  | 1108 | 1058 | 1134 | 1506 |  |
| July  | 1073 | 959  | 1186 | 1632 |  |
| August  | 1054 | 1011 | 1357 | 1632 |  |
| September   | 914  | 963  | 1417 | 1746 |  |
| October   | 972  | 951  | 1556 | 1787 |  |
| November  | 1067 | 1050 | 1615 | 1959 |  |
| December  | 1059 | 1094 | 1880 | 2081 |  |

4.11 The Nifty index, which shows the biggest 50 liquid stocks in the country, experienced a sharp growth in market capitalisation from Rs.2,85,007 crore in 2001 to Rs.9,02,831 crore in 2004. Strong returns of 71.9 per cent in 2003 were followed by modest returns of 10.7 per cent in 2004. Index volatility in 2004 was elevated to 2001 levels, reflecting the flow of news in these two years.

4.12 The second tier of 50 liquid but smaller stocks makes up the Nifty Junior index. This index has also seen a sharp rise in market

| Table 4.5 : Movements of the index of the next 50 stocks (Nifty Junior) |      |      |      |      |  |
|---|------|------|------|------|--|
|   | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| January   | 2408 | 1349 | 1377 | 3368 |  |
| February  | 2141 | 1496 | 1387 | 3331 |  |
| March   | 1602 | 1567 | 1260 | 3392 |  |
| April   | 1525 | 1608 | 1340 | 3640 |  |
| May   | 1627 | 1497 | 1664 | 2847 |  |
| June  | 1415 | 1617 | 1784 | 2903 |  |
| July  | 1343 | 1456 | 2012 | 3082 |  |
| August  | 1277 | 1453 | 2275 | 3199 |  |
| September   | 1084 | 1258 | 2457 | 3504 |  |
| October   | 1174 | 1255 | 2656 | 3482 |  |
| November  | 1334 | 1337 | 2801 | 3885 |  |
| December  | 1298 | 1413 | 3406 | 4453 |  |

capitalisation, from Rs.28,498 crore in 2001 to Rs.1.65.444 crore in 2004. Returns on this set of stocks appears to have a high sensitivity to returns on Nifty, both in the upward and the downward direction. The volatility of this index is somewhat higher than that of Nifty. The gap in P/E, between Nifty and Nifty Junior, has been substantially closed in the period from 2001 to 2004. This may reflect a percolation of liquidity and market efficiency from the top 50 stocks to a second tier of mid-cap stocks, thus giving the benefit of a low cost of capital for a wider set of firms.

|                    | 2001     | 2002     | 2003     | 2004     |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| BSE Sensex:        |          |          |          |          |
| Returns (per cent) | -17.9    | 3.5      | 72.9     | 13.1     |
| End-year mkt.cap.  | 2,46,230 | 2,76,916 | 6,35,015 | 7,35,528 |
| Daily Volatility   | 1.71     | 1.10     | 1.17     | 1.59     |
| End-year P/E       | 15.57    | 14.64    | 18.86    | 17.07    |
| Nifty :            |          |          |          |          |
| Returns (per cent) | -16.2    | 3.3      | 71.9     | 10.7     |
| End-year mkt.cap.  | 2,85,007 | 3,52,943 | 6,34,248 | 9,02,831 |
| Daily Volatility   | 1.62     | 1.07     | 1.23     | 1.73     |
| End-year P/E       | 15.35    | 14.83    | 20.73    | 15.32    |
| Nifty Junior :     |          |          |          |          |
| Returns (per cent) | -46.5    | 8.8      | 141.0    | 30.8     |
| End-year mkt.cap.  | 28,498   | 35,668   | 1,32,409 | 1,65,444 |
| Daily Volatility   | 1.93     | 1.34     | 1.37     | 1.94     |
| End-year P/E       | 5.84     | 12.26    | 15.73    | 14.19    |

Securities markets

4.13 Equity volatility in India and abroad has been lower in the latest two years as compared with the recent decade (Table 4.7). The time-period from October 1995 onwards is homogeneous insofar as India had fully moved to anonymous electronic exchangetraded markets over this period. In India, a particularly sharp decline has come about in the volatility of Nifty Junior. Indian equity index volatility is not unlike that of Korea in the recent period. However, the Indian equity market has been much more volatile than that of the United States.

| Table 4.7 : Volatility of weekly returns onthe equity market |                                     |        |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| _  |                                     | Period |  |  |  |
| Class of stocks  | Oct '95 - Jan '03<br>Dec '04 Dec '0 |        |  |  |  |
| India  |                                     |        |  |  |  |
| Top 50 (Nifty)   | 3.538                               | 3.010  |  |  |  |
| Next 50 (Nifty Junior  | ) 4.303                             | 3.551  |  |  |  |
| Outside India  |                                     |        |  |  |  |
| U.S. (S&P 500)   | 2.455                               | 1.805  |  |  |  |
| Korea (Kospi)  | 4.987                               | 3.399  |  |  |  |

4.14 A liquid market is one where transacting is frictionless, hence the best measure of liquidity is the "impact cost" suffered when doing transactions on the market. High liquidity corresponds to low impact cost. The impact cost for doing trades to buy or sell Rs.0.5 crore of Nifty has dropped steadily and dramatically over this period, from 0.27 per cent in 2001 to 0.09 in 2004 (Table 4.8). This suggests that

| Table 4.8: Equity spot market liquidity         |                   |      |      |      |  |
|---|-------------------|------|------|------|--|
| _   | For calendar year |      |      |      |  |
| _   | 2001              | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |  |
| Nifty:  |                   |      |      |      |  |
| Turnover ratio<br>(per cent)                    | 225               | 164  | 131  | 133  |  |
| NSE impact cost at<br>Rs. 5 million (per cent)  | 0.27              | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.09 |  |
| Nifty Junior:                                   |                   |      |      |      |  |
| Turnover ratio<br>(per cent)                    | 565               | 369  | 101  | 89   |  |
| NSE impact cost at<br>Rs.2.5 million (per cent) | 0.62              | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.31 |  |

the shift to rolling settlement, and the takeoff of derivatives trading - which were the two major changes of this period - have significantly helped market liquidity.

4.15 The second tier of stocks - Nifty Junior - has also obtained major progress on liquidity, with impact cost going down from 0.62 per cent to 0.31 per cent over this period. This group of stocks has benefited from the move to rolling settlement, and indirectly from access to index derivatives trading. However, this group of stocks has not had the direct benefits of derivatives trading on individual stocks. While liquidity of Nifty junior improved in absolute terms, the gap between Nifty and Nifty junior in liquidity actually worsened between 2001 and 2004.

4.16 India is ranked 12th in an international comparison of turnover ratio (one year's trading volume divided by market capitalisation) on the equity spot market, in the 12-month period from November 2003 to October 2004 (Table 4.9). With a turnover ratio of 107 per cent, India lags behind China and Turkey. India's situation appears to be broadly in the range of values seen with well

| Table 4.9 : Turnover ratio (per cent) on theequity spot market: An internationalcomparison |                |                |  |  |
|--|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Ran  | k Country      | Turnover ratio |  |  |
| 1  | United Kingdom | 165            |  |  |
| 2  | Taiwan         | 163            |  |  |
| 3  | Turkey         | 141            |  |  |
| 4  | China          | 139            |  |  |
| 5  | Germany        | 125            |  |  |
| 6  | Spain          | 120            |  |  |
| 7  | United States  | 119            |  |  |
| 8  | Sweden         | 118            |  |  |
| 9  | Korea          | 118            |  |  |
| 10   | Italy          | 113            |  |  |
| 11   | Finland        | 112            |  |  |
| 12   | India          | 107            |  |  |
| 13   | Thailand       | 98             |  |  |
| 14   | Switzerland    | 91             |  |  |
| 15   | Japan          | 88             |  |  |
| Note : Pertains to 11/2003 - 10/2004.  |                |                |  |  |

developed equity markets such as those of Japan (88 per cent) or the United States (119 per cent). This suggests that in the future, the headroom for growth in spot market turnover in India will come from growth of the economy and of market capitalisation. Spot market turnover is likely to grow in rough proportion to the growth in market capitalisation.

4.17 Between 2001 and 2004, turnover on the NSE equity spot market grew by 69 per cent (Table 4.10). Over this same period, the NSE equity derivatives market came of age, with turnover growing dramatically to reach a level which was 2.2 times bigger than equity spot trading at NSE. At the same time, the equity derivatives market in India has yet to attain the multiples of spot market turnover which are prevalent in successful derivatives exchanges internationally. There is considerable headroom for growth, in response to growing knowledge in the country, and improvements in regulation.

#### Investors

4.18 There is growing evidence about increasing participation in the securities markets. The number of accounts at NSDL,

which is the best measure of the number of participants in the market, had stagnated in 2001 and 2002 at roughly 3.7 to 3.8 million (Table 4.11). This grew by 21 per cent and then 29 per cent in the following two years, to reach roughly 6 million as of end-2004. The evidence for 2004 corresponds to 5,400 new depository accounts being opened per weekday.

4.19 The average trade size on the NSE and BSE spot markets in 2004 was Rs.27,715 and Rs.23,984 respectively. This highlights the domination of individual investors in price discovery. This is in sharp contrast with (say) the bond market, where the average trade size exceeds Rs.1 crore. If institutional investors (domestic or foreign) had been major players in this market, the average trade size would have been much bigger.

4.20 The average trade size at the NSE derivatives segment rose significantly from 2001 to 2004. However, the value as of 2004 - of roughly Rs.5 lakh - remained accessible to a large number of households, given that this trade size requires collateral of roughly Rs.1 lakh. The domination of individual investors in the derivatives market is even more complete than that found on the spot market.

| Table 4.10 : Growth of turnover |                   |           |           |                  |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--|
|                                 |                   |           | (Turnove  | er in Rs. crore) |  |
|                                 | For calendar year |           |           |                  |  |
|                                 | 2001              | 2002      | 2003      | 2004             |  |
| NSE spot                        | 6,95,610          | 6,24,322  | 9,07,882  | 11,75,159        |  |
| BSE spot                        | 4,75,201          | 3,32,814  | 4,09,161  | 5,33,253         |  |
| NSE derivatives                 | 39,848            | 3,45,443  | 14,31,142 | 25,86,738        |  |
| BSE derivatives                 | 2,076             | 928       | 9,103     | 19,173           |  |
| Indian equity turnover          | 12,12,735         | 13,03,508 | 27,57,287 | 43,14,322        |  |

| Table 4.11 : A predominantly retail market |                     |           |           |           |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
|  | As of year-end      |           |           |           |  |  |
|  | 2001 2002 2003 2004 |           |           |           |  |  |
| Number of NSDL accounts                    | 3,658,098           | 3,813,336 | 4,612,884 | 5,969,095 |  |  |
| Average trade size (rupees):               |                     |           |           |           |  |  |
| NSE spot                                   | 40,509              | 26,703    | 26,993    | 27,715    |  |  |
| BSE spot                                   | 35,783              | 22,485    | 22,782    | 23,984    |  |  |
| NSE derivatives                            | 2,85,983            | 3,00,334  | 4,25,077  | 4,88,790  |  |  |

Securities markets

4.21 The mutual fund industry has experienced slow growth in recent years, with assets under management nearly stagnant at Rs.1,50,537 crore (Table 4.12). The bulk of mutual fund assets continue to be in debt securities. However, assets in growth funds rose sharply in 2004 to reach Rs.31,551 crore. These small magnitudes, compared with overall market size, are consistent with the picture of India being a highly retail market, where households directly own the bulk of securities.

4.22 There has been a considerable focus upon the net purchases of FIIs on the equity spot market, which amounted to Rs.38,965 crore in 2004 (Table 4.13). On a typical day, of the 637 registered FIIs and 1,785 subaccounts, some buy while others sell

| Table 4.12 : Assets under management of<br>mutual funds |                |          |             |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|----------|-------------|--|--|--|
|   |                |          | (Rs. crore) |  |  |  |
|   | At end of year |          |             |  |  |  |
| _   | 2002 2003 2004 |          |             |  |  |  |
| Money market  | 10,801         | 32,424   | 59,447      |  |  |  |
| Gilt  | 4,316          | 6,917    | 4,876       |  |  |  |
| Income  | 77,469         | 71,258   | 47,451      |  |  |  |
| Growth  | 14,371         | 22,938   | 31,551      |  |  |  |
| Balanced  | 14,164         | 4,663    | 5,472       |  |  |  |
| ELSS  | 1,479          | 1,893    | 1,740       |  |  |  |
| Total   | 1,22,600       | 1,40,093 | 1,50,537    |  |  |  |

securities. Thus, putting the spot and derivatives markets together, in 2004, FIIs purchased Rs.2,69,877 crore and sold Rs.2,32,840 crore. This reflects the diverse range of FIIs, with a diversity of views and portfolio strategies. India's equity market has always been strong in terms of attracting a diverse array of participants, with heterogeneous views and compulsions. The entry of FIIs has further strengthened the diversity of views and compulsions, and helped fuel market liquidity.

4.23 Over the past four years, the number of registered FIIs has risen from 490 to 637, and the number of sub-accounts has risen from 1,372 to 1,785. Growth of these two measures is desirable insofar as it indicates a more diverse, and hence more stable, pool of foreign investors.

4.24 Institutional investors (including most domestic and foreign) account for roughly 10.8 per cent of spot market turnover, and just 3.3 per cent of derivatives turnover (Table 4.14). Since price discovery primarily takes place on the derivatives market, this suggests that individual investors and not institutional investors dominate price discovery.

4.25 Almost all derivatives turnover by institutions - of Rs.1,76,940 crore - in 2004 came from FIIs, who accounted for Rs.1,70,338 crore of derivatives turnover.

| Table 4.1                       | 3 : Foreign inst | itutional invest | ors    | (Rs. crore) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|
|                                 |                  | ( )              |        |             |
|                                 | 2001             | 2002             | 2003   | 2004        |
| End-year number of FIIs         | 527*             | 490*             | 502*   | 637         |
| End-year number of sub-accounts | _                | 1,372*           | 1,361* | 1,785       |
| Spot market activity:           |                  |                  |        |             |
| Gross buy                       | 51,779           | 28,759           | 94,412 | 1,85,672    |
| Gross sell                      | 38,651           | 25,257           | 63,954 | 1,46,707    |
| Net                             | 13,128           | 3,502            | 30,458 | 38,965      |
| Derivatives activity:           |                  |                  |        |             |
| Gross buy                       |                  |                  |        | 84,205      |
| Gross sell                      |                  |                  |        | 86,133      |
| Net                             |                  |                  |        | -1,928      |

Note : Data on derivatives transactions by FIIs were not separately reported prior to 2004. \* As on 31st March.

| Table 4.14 : Gross turnover from institutional investors |           |                   |           |             |  |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
|  |           |                   |           | (Rs. crore) |  |
|  |           | For calendar year |           |             |  |
|  | 2001      | 2002              | 2003      | 2004        |  |
| Spot market:   |           |                   |           |             |  |
| NSE+BSE gross turnover                                   | 23,41,622 | 19,14,273         | 26,34,085 | 34,16,824   |  |
| All institutions   | 1,05,581  | 1,13,374          | 2,04,745  | 3,70,609    |  |
| FIIs   | 90,430    | 54,016            | 1,58,366  | 3,32,379    |  |
| Derivatives:   |           |                   |           |             |  |
| NSE+BSE gross turnover                                   | 83,848    | 6,92,742          | 28,80,489 | 52,11,820   |  |
| All institutions   |           |                   | 51,397    | 1,76,940    |  |
| FIIs   |           |                   |           | 1,70,338    |  |
| Equity spot + derivatives                                |           |                   |           |             |  |
| NSE+BSE gross turnover                                   | 24,25,470 | 26,07,015         | 55,14,574 | 86,28,645   |  |
| All institutions   | 1,05,581  | 1,13,374          | 2,56,142  | 5,47,449    |  |
| FIIs   | 90,430    | 54,016            | 1,58,366  | 5,02,717    |  |

Conversely, it appears that domestic institutions have a negligible presence on the equity derivatives market. This may reflect superior human resources, systems, firm-level policies, risk management systems, and regulatory constraints operative upon FIIs relative to domestic institutions.

4.26 A key feature of measurement in Table 14 is the use of "gross turnover". Trading volume data, as normally reported by exchanges, shows volume of Rs.100 when one security worth Rs.100 goes from a seller to a buyer. However, when data is captured about the gross trading of market participants, this transaction shows up twice, as Rs.200 of trades. To ensure comparability, the table reexpresses all data as gross turnover, by doubling the trading volume as reported by exchanges.

4.27 Derivatives transactions by FIIs were not separately tracked prior to 2004. The inclusion of derivatives data from 2004 onwards overstates the increase in FII turnover for 2004, which hence shows a sharp jump from Rs.1,58,366 crore in 2003 to Rs.5,02,717 crore in 2004. While Rs.5,02,717 crore of oneway FII turnover - summing across spot and derivatives markets - appears to be a large number, it now makes up 5.83 per cent of the overall Indian equity market.

Securities markets

4.28 Equity indexes get correlated across countries through exposure to common shocks (e.g. the monsoon affects both India and Bangladesh), trade integration (e.g. Indian exports benefit from a global upswing) and portfolio integration (e.g. foreign investors require higher expected returns from Indian equities when global interest rates are higher). In the period from 1995 onwards, India has made considerable progress in terms of greater trade integration, and entry of foreign investors. This should have led to higher correlations between Indian stock market indexes and those of the outside world. As yet, the extent of global integration is still quite small, with a correlation between Nifty and the U.S. S&P 500 of 0.327 in calendar years 2003 and 2004 (Table 4.15).

| Table 4.15 : Correlations of equity index<br>(weekly) returns |                      |                      |  |  |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
|   | Period               |                      |  |  |
| Class of stocks   | Oct '95 -<br>Dec '04 | Jan '03 -<br>Dec '04 |  |  |
| Correlations with S&F   | P 500                |                      |  |  |
| Nifty   | 0.226                | 0.327                |  |  |
| Nifty Junior  | 0.102                | 0.195                |  |  |
| Korean KOSPI  | 0.316                | 0.509                |  |  |
| Nifty and KOSPI   | 0.288                | 0.425                |  |  |

4.29 The Korean Kospi index serves as a proxy for "other emerging markets". Korea had high trade integration as of 1995, but had many barriers to portfolio flows. This gave a Korea-US correlation of 0.316. In the period after the East Asian Crisis, Korea further liberalised portfolio flows. This has given a higher correlation in the most recent two years of 0.509. In India, the second tier of the next 50 less liquid stocks, Nifty Junior, has an even lower correlation of 0.195 against the S&P 500. At the same time, the correlation between Nifty and the Korean Kospi has risen from 0.288 to 0.425, which suggests that India is now more integrated with the factors that affect all emerging markets such as fluctuations in world trade, portfolio flows, and FDI.

# Financial products derived from market indexes

4.30 The indexation industry, which deals with financial products derived from market indexes, is an area of growth in sophistication of Indian finance. As of December 2004, the market capitalisation of Nifty was Rs.9 lakh crore, and Nifty junior was at Rs.1.65 lakh crore. Index funds on both Nifty and Nifty junior now exist. Through these, it is reported that index fund investment strategies can cover 64 per cent of India's broad market capitalisation. However, assets under management with index funds have stagnated in the last four years at roughly Rs.750 crore.

4.31 While index funds have not yet taken off in India, index derivatives have been

extremely successful (Table 4.16). In 2004, on all days, Nifty was the largest single underlying on the equity derivatives market.

4.32 Data for "Stock derivatives" in Table 4.16 sums up all futures and options on all individual stocks. Index derivatives essentially shows the turnover for futures and options on Nifty on NSE, and futures and options on the BSE Sensex on the BSE. Index derivatives were weak in 2002 and 2003, where the takeoff of derivatives trading in India largely appears to have involved trading in derivatives on individual stocks. However, index derivatives went up from 12 per cent of NSE derivatives turnover in 2002 to 35 per cent in 2004, and Nifty is now clearly the most important underlying on the market.

4.33 Turnover in index derivatives in 2004 was roughly three times larger than that of 2003, showing growth well in excess of the growth rates seen on either the equity spot market or the individual stock derivatives market. This reflects growing knowledge in the market, and a shift to more sophisticated trading strategies. While BSE commands little market share in derivatives trading, it is interesting to note that the dominant product at BSE is the BSE Sensex as an underlying for futures and options.

## Debt market

4.34 The Indian debt market, while composed of government bonds and corporate bonds, is dominated by government bonds. Bonds issued by the Government of

| -   | Table 4.16 : Index | derivatives turno | over      |             |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
|   |                    |                   |           | (Rs. crore) |  |
|   | For calendar year  |                   |           |             |  |
|   | 2001               | 2002              | 2003      | 2004        |  |
| NSE derivatives                                   | 38,848             | 3,45,443          | 14,31,142 | 25,86,738   |  |
| BSE derivatives                                   | 2,076              | 928               | 9,103     | 19,173      |  |
| NSE stock derivatives                             | 21,944             | 3,04,487          | 10,99,263 | 16,74,224   |  |
| BSE stock derivatives                             | 256                | 715               | 3,826     | 2,091       |  |
| NSE index derivatives                             | 17,903             | 40,956            | 3,31,676  | 9,12,514    |  |
| BSE index derivatives                             | 1,821              | 213               | 5,277     | 17,081      |  |
| Fraction of days where<br>Nifty was #1 underlying | 0.94               | 0.99              | 0.64      | 1           |  |

|                                       |                   |           |           | (Rs. crore) |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--|
|                                       | For calendar year |           |           |             |  |
|                                       | 2001              | 2002      | 2003      | 2004        |  |
| Gross issuance                        | 1,11,000          | 1,20,213  | 1,13,000  | 1,19,500    |  |
| End-year market cap.                  | 5,14,171          | 6,55,148  | 9,59,903  | 9,96,341    |  |
| SGL turnover                          | 10,36,951         | 12,93,814 | 15,98,052 | 10,70,896   |  |
| Turnover ratio (per cent)             | 201.67            | 197.48    | 166.48    | 107.48      |  |
| Number of bonds with TR > 75 per cent | 36                | 33        | 40        | 28          |  |
| Demat GOI bonds at NSDL :             |                   |           |           |             |  |
| Value (Rs. crore)                     |                   |           | 1,956     | 3,688       |  |
| Number of accounts containing GOI bor | nds 527           | 924       | 1,580     | 1,960       |  |

#### Table 4.17 : Government of India (GOI) bond market size

India (GOI), i.e. the Central Government, are the predominant and most liquid component of the bond market. Since government bonds have much lower volatility than equities, and all bonds are priced based on the same macroeconomic information, bond market liquidity is normally much higher than stock market liquidity in in most countries.

4.35 Owing to fiscal deficits, supplemented by issuance under the Market Stabilisation Scheme, a high volume of issuance took place on the GOI bond market for all the four years (Table 4.17). In comparison, the volume of issuance of corporate securities (Table 4.2) was smaller.

4.36 The market capitalisation of the stock of outstanding GOI bonds rose sharply in 2003, reflecting a combination of fresh issuance and lower interest rates. The market capitalisation in 2004 did not rise significantly, reflecting an increase in interest rates.

4.37 On the bond market, it is difficult to compute impact cost, which is the best measure of liquidity. Turnover ratio (TR), which is a proxy for liquidity, declined from roughly 200 per cent in 2001 and 2002 to a level of roughly 100 per cent in 2004. Even though bond market capitalisation doubled, fueled by a high volume of issuance, bond market turnover in 2004 was at the levels seen in 2001. The bond market has not yet obtained exchange-traded futures and options, which can play a major role in price discovery, risk management and liquidity.

Securities markets

4.38 In 2004, only 28 bonds had a turnover ratio of above 75 per cent. The number of bonds with plausible liquidity has dropped over this period. For a comparison, the number of individual stocks with a turnover ratio above 75 per cent is reported to have gone up from 207 in 2001 to 445 in 2004. Going by CCIL data, just 20 participants accounted for 54 per cent of the bond market turnover.

4.39 The short end of the yield curve, as measured by the interest rate on the notional oneyear zero-coupon bond, dropped from 6.75 per cent in December 2001 to 4.75 per cent in December 2003 (Table 4.18). It rose sharply to 6.09 per cent in December 2004. When interest rates go up, bond prices go down, and negative returns are obtained. Hence, after a three-year period of positive returns on the

#### Table 4.18 : GOI bond market outcomes

|                               | Calendar year |       |       |        |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|--------|--|--|
|                               | 2001          | 2002  | 2003  | 2004   |  |  |
| Notional GOI ZC 1-year bond:  |               |       |       |        |  |  |
| Interest rate                 | 6.75          | 5.44  | 4.75  | 6.09   |  |  |
| Returns (per cent)            | 3.06          | 1.37  | 0.73  | -1.24  |  |  |
| Returns volatility            | 0.25          | 0.15  | 0.27  | 0.36   |  |  |
| Notional GOI ZC 10-year bond: |               |       |       |        |  |  |
| Interest rate                 | 8.16          | 6.12  | 5.38  | 6.78   |  |  |
| Returns (per cent)            | 28.11         | 20.28 | 6.83  | -12.66 |  |  |
| Returns volatility            | 0.65          | 0.58  | 0.59  | 0.71   |  |  |
| NSE GOI bond index:           |               |       |       |        |  |  |
| Returns (per cent)            | 23.23         | 15.95 | 10.03 | -3.75  |  |  |
| Returns volatility            | 0.34          | 0.43  | 0.39  | 0.59   |  |  |

notional one-year zero-coupon bond, negative returns were experienced in 2004.

4.40 A similar pattern was observed for the long end of the yield curve, proxied by the notional 10-year zero-coupon bond. This interest rate fell from 8.16 per cent in December 2001 to 5.38 in December 2003, and rose to 6.78 per cent in 2004, inducing returns of -12.66 per cent in this period. These negative returns were in sharp contrast to the strong returns which had been obtained on long bonds in 2003 and particularly in 2001 and 2002.

4.41 The NSE GOI bond index shows the performance of all outstanding GOI bonds, reflecting a mix of short-dated and long-dated bonds. The index had generated handsome returns in 2001 and 2002. It delivered negative returns in 2004, and experienced heightened returns volatility.

# **Commodity futures**

4.42 The third component of organised trading of standardised products in the country is in the commodity futures markets. In recent years, these markets have drawn upon the success of nationwide electronic trading on the equity market, and three new exchanges have come about: National Commodity Derivatives Exchange (NCDEX), Multi Commodity Exchange (MCX) and National Multi Commodity Exchange (NMCE). In the first half of 2004-05, all commodity futures exchanges clocked trading volume of Rs.1.7 lakh crore (Table 4.19). This works out to roughly one third of the GOI bond market and one-tenth of exchange trading on the equity market.

| Table 4.19 : Turnover on commodity futures<br>markets |             |             |                   |                            |  |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
|   |             |             | (1                | Rs. Crore)                 |  |
|   | 2001-<br>02 | 2002-<br>03 | 2003-<br>04<br>(I | 2004-<br>05<br>First half) |  |
| NCDEX   | 0           | 0           | 1,490             | 54,011                     |  |
| NBOT  | 14,278      | 34,376      | 53,014            | 51,038                     |  |
| MCX   | 0           | 0           | 2,456             | 30,695                     |  |
| NMCE  | 0           | 4,572       | 23,842            | 7,943                      |  |
| All exchanges   | 4,495       | 66,530      | 1,29,364          | 1,70,720                   |  |

# **Policy developments**

# Liberalisation of FII flows

4.43 One of the cumbersome features of India's policy framework governing foreign portfolio investment has been the application of sectoral FDI limits upon FII investments. The 2002-03 budget speech had suggested that FII investments would not be subject to these limits. A committee, chaired by the Chief Economic Advisor, was constituted to analyse these questions, with representation from Department of Economic Affairs and Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion. The recommendations of the committee, submitted in June 2004, were as follows:

- Recommendations were made about simplication of registration and renewal of FII status.
- The 24 per cent limit on FII investment imposed in 1992, when FII inflows first began, was exclusive of the FDI limit. Hence, in general, FII investment ceilings, if any, should be reckoned over and above FDI sectoral caps.
- The special procedure for raising FII investments beyond 24 per cent upto the FDI limit in a company should be dispensed with, by amending the relevant SEBI FII regulations.
- In order to provide dispersed investments and prevent concentrated ownership, the present cap of 10 per cent by an FII in a single company should be retained.
- Apart from the above, which apply to all sectors, specific proposals are made pertaining to four sectors. In telecom, the composite cap on FDI and FII investment may be enhanced to 74 per cent without separate sub-ceilings. In defence, a composite cap of 49 per cent may be imposed with no sub-ceiling for FDI and FII. In PSU banks where a composite cap of 20 per cent for foreign investment applies, FII investment up to 20 per cent over and above the existing cap of 20 per cent should be allowed, while amending statutes to prevent board representation by FIIs. In insurance companies, as in

defence production, the composite cap may be enhanced to 49 per cent by amending the relevant statutes.

• The prohibition on FII investment in print media and gambling may continue.

4.44 Most of the recommendations of the committee are presently under consideration. Another policy analysis effort is underway, in the form of a Committee, also headed by the Chief Economic Advisor, to evaluate mechanisms to encourage FII flows while curbing speculative tendencies.

### SMILE report

4.45 In April 2004, SEBI setup the Securities Market Infrastructure Leveraging Expert (SMILE) committee, chaired by Dr. P. J. Nayak. In its report on primary market infrastructure, the committee noted the fact that primary market issuance still takes 15 days, from the date of closure of the issue, for securities to be allotted to investors. This is in sharp contrast with the secondary market, where the settlement cycle has been shortened to T+2.

4.46 The committee found that it was possible to eliminate repeated data entry of demographic details (name, address, etc.) of investors by using data available with depositories. The committee also found that reconciliation of payment details with application forms was a bottleneck and suggested ways to cut down delays on this account. The committee has further suggested that lead managers should be charged with the responsibility of appointing appropriate registrars and bankers to ensure that the primary issuance process is completed on T+6 basis. The existing practice of issuers appointing various agents has resulted in lack of coordination among different entities. The committee suggested that bunching of applications on the last days should be addressed by providing differential weightage to applications received earlier. To enhance investor convenience, especially for NRIs, the committee suggested receipt of electronic forms with electronic remittances and use of digital signatures. Considering that automation in various intermediaries is getting intensified, the committee suggested that SEBI may mandate minimal infrastructure and system audit requirements.

4.47 In its report on the mutual fund industry, the committee has noted that the reach of the mutual fund industry is considerably smaller than the reach of brokers and depository participants in the secondary market. The committee has recommended to SEBI that apart from the existing model, SEBI should consider encouraging mutual funds to go through either the depositories model or a distributor model. The depositories model has the obvious advantage of giving investors a single consolidated statement for all investments, which is analogous with the proposal about the New Pension System. The committee has, however, recommended that the regulator should take a neutral stance towards alternate models and let the market decide the outcome. The committee has further suggested that SEBI should review the current procedure of giving the NAV to investors before the funds are actually received by the mutual fund. It has advocated allocation of units and NAV on the basis of clear funds being available to the mutual fund.

#### The MAPIN database

4.48 SEBI is developing a database about market participants and investors, called the MAPIN database, to give a Unique Identification Number (UIN) to individuals and entities participating in the capital market. Once the database is built, SEBI will mandate that transactions of concerned participants (primary market, secondary market, etc.) are identified with the UIN.

4.49 The MAPIN databases utilises fingerprints as an identifier, apart from traditional identifiers such as photographs, name and address. Fingerprints are photographs of fingers, and are a good tool in the effort of establishing uniqueness, and preventing the opening of *benami* accounts. Present day technology makes it possible to collect such data in an affordable and convenient manner. The use of fingerprints is not unique to MAPIN; fingerprints are currently used in the registration of sale of real estate also. 4.50 This unique identity will be critical to the ability of SEBI to rapidly investigate market misbehaviour. The stock exchanges (for secondary market trades) and registrars (for primary market applications) have digitised information. Such information can be analysed very easily if each transaction is linked to the UIN.

### Outlook

India embarked on dramatic reforms on 4.51 the equity market in the early 1990s, with a quest for a new market design which was transparent, harnessed the whole country, while having competition between multiple exchange institutions and having no entry barriers in financial intermediation. This quest was substantially completed with the shift to rolling settlement in 2001. With these sound foundations, the equity market has worked very well in the period after 2001, with continual growth of market size, greater sophistication of trading strategies, and steady growth in participation by households. The far-reaching reforms from 1993 to 2001 are ultimately responsible for the accomplishment highlighted in Table 4.3, where India figures prominently in the list of the biggest exchanges in the world, measured by the number of transactions.

4.52 SEBI has embarked upon new efforts on the primary market, in response to the difficulties seen with the bunching of public issues in 2004. The equity market now has fairly well functioning components on the entire 'food chain', comprising pre-IPO (venture funds, private equity funds), the IPO market (electronic auctions), secondary market (nationwide electronic trading), market participants (households, mutual funds, insurance companies, FIIs), the market for corporate control (M&A market), and the indexation industry (stock market indexes, index funds, index derivatives). All aspects of of this ecosystem come together in delivering the observed liquidity and market efficiency.

4.53 In coming months, considerable investment appears to be in the pipeline, reflecting confidence by domestic and foreign investors in the economic outlook. The securities markets are well equipped to engage in information processing and intermediation, and support the investment activities of 2005-06.

4.54 The securities markets have been a fertile ground for fostering modern regulatory structures. SEBI was a new organisation created in the early 1990s. SEBI has been a pure regulator, and has maintained a separation between regulation and service provision. All markets that SEBI regulates have competitive conditions. The legal architecture underlying SEBI and the equity market has been sound. These factors have enabled the success of the equity market.

4.55 A strategy has to be chalked out for the develoment of the bond market. India is an ideal environment for a liquid bond market, given large public debt issuance, and sophisticated exchange institutions. The bond market has made one important step forward, in the form of the Clearing Corporation of India (CCIL), whereby there is no post-trade settlement risk. The bond market has yet to find the policy framework through which the success of the equity market can be emulated. A striking manifestation of the weaknesses of the design of the bond market has been observed in the recent period, in the form of a significant dropoff of turnover, even though the market size has been steadily growing through large scale public debt issuance.